

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTÖRNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/005,321	12/03/2001	Thomas Honger Callisen	10096.200-US	9485
25908	7590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC. 500 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1600			BENNETT, RACHEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10110		1615	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/005,321	CALLISEN, THO	CALLISEN, THOMAS HONGER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rachel M. Bennett	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will. Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S C § 133).	aly. communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{11}$	November 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.	-final.					
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a language 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence or	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies neestic priority under 35 U.S. first sentence of the special provisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S.	Application No en received in this National ot received C. § 119(e) (to a provisional fication or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific				
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notice o	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,321

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner acknowledges the Amendment filed 11/11/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allied Colloids Limited (WO 97/24177).

Applicants claim a composition comprising an enzyme encapsulated in a vesicle, wherein the vesicle comprises at least 50% of a synthetic polymer as a vesicle forming agent; and wherein the synthetic polymer is a di- or tri-block-co-polymer composed of monomers selected from the group consisting of ethyleneoxide, propyleneoxide, ethylethylene, acrylic acid and vinyl amine.

Allies Colloids Limited discloses a liquid detergent concentrate has an outer liquid detergent phase and enzyme containing particles dispersed in the liquid phase. The particle have

Application/Control Number: 10/005,321

Art Unit: 1615

a polymer shell formed from a condensation polymer, which is permeable to water and low molecular weight components of the outer liquid phase and the core comprising the enzyme, an inner liquid detergent phase in substantially equilibrium with the outer phase and a core polymer which causes stretching as a result of osmosis when the concentrate is diluted in water. See abstract. Preferably the core polymer is a polyelectrolyte. Such a polymer is generally formed by polymerizing ethylenically unsaturated ionic monomer either alone or with non-ionic monomer. The preferred ionic monomer is acrylic acid. Polyacrylic acid homopolymer and copolymers of acrylic acid are very suitable. See page 14. Example 3 discloses two different ways of encapsulating the enzyme. Capsules were formed from a copolymer of acrylamide and acrylic acid. Allies Colloids Limited does not disclose the % of synthetic polymer.

It is the position of the examiner it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the composition taught by Allies Colloids Limited by determining a suitable amount of synthetic polymer in order to achieve an encapsulation system which provides capsules of high specific surface area and which will allow permeation of low molecular weight components of the outer liquid phase of the detergent concentrate into the capsule but will prevent permeation of enzyme out the capsule during storage and will allow rapid release of the enzyme when the concentrate is diluted in wash water as suggested by Allies Colloids Limited. See page 8.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1615

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel M. Bennett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8779 (after 2/4/04 (571) 272-0589). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927 (after 2/4/04, (571) 272-0602). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER-1600

rmb